

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9  
10 IMPERIAL WOODWORKING  
11 ENTERPRISE, INC.,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 RILEY MCCLURE, 135 LLC,  
15 Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-00399 NC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
REGARDING SUBJECT MATTER  
JURISDICTION**

Re: Dkt. No. 1

16 Defendant Riley McClure removed this case from California state court on the basis  
17 of diversity jurisdiction. Dkt. No. 1 at 2. Riley also removed this action on behalf of co-  
18 defendant 135 LLC. It is the Court's duty to examine whether it has subject matter  
19 jurisdiction over any case before it.

20 Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and are presumptively without  
21 jurisdiction. *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.*, 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994). The  
22 defendant has the burden of showing removal of a state court action to federal court is  
23 appropriate. *Gaus v. Miles, Inc.*, 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). Removal of a state  
24 court action to federal court is appropriate only if the federal court would have had original  
25 subject matter jurisdiction over the suit. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

26 It is not clear that diversity of citizenship exists here, and so the existence of subject  
27 matter jurisdiction is uncertain. This is because defendant 135 LLC is a limited liability  
28 company, and the citizenship of each member of the company must be considered in the  
Case No. 18-cv-00399 NC

1 diversity analysis. *Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 185, 195 (1990); *Johnson v.*  
2 *Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP*, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006). In the removal,  
3 Riley did not inform the Court of the citizenship of 135 LLC's members. The amount in  
4 controversy does, however, meet the statutory minimum under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Thus,  
5 the Court ORDERS defendants to SHOW CAUSE why the Court has subject matter  
6 jurisdiction over this case. Defendants must file a response to this order by February 2,  
7 2018. That response may not exceed 5 pages in length. Otherwise, the Court will remand  
8 this case to California state court.  
9

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11  
12 Dated: January 23, 2018

---



13 NATHANAEL M. COUSINS  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28